PARTIES

- Plaintiff is an Illinois corporation having its principal place of business at 4849 South
 Austin Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. Plaintiff's goods are offered worldwide and throughout the United
 States, including the Northern District of California.
- 3. Defendant Detention Device Systems, Incorporated, is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 25545 Seaboard Lane, Hayward, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has engaged in business activities in and directed at the State of California and within this judicial district, and because Defendant has knowingly committed a tortuous act within the State of California and this judicial district.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1338 and 1367. Plaintiff's claims are based, in part, on violations of the Lanham Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051, et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over the state law claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(b) and 1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as a substantial portion of the events giving rise to the claims occurred, and continue to occur, within the Northern District of California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. A substantial part of the events which give rise to the claims described herein arose in Alameda County, California where a number of the subject goods were displayed, offered for sale, and sold.

PLAINTIFF'S BUSINESS AND MARK

8. CMC uses the registered mark SECURLINE to sell metal ceiling systems and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

- 9. Since at least as early as September 1994, Plaintiff has continuously used the mark SECURLINE in commerce in connection with metal ceiling systems.
- 10. On May 18, 1994, Plaintiff applied to register the SECURLINE mark, and on February 11, 1997, the SECURLINE mark became the subject of United States Trademark Registration No. 2,037,450. The SECURLINE registration is statutorily incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065 A copy of this registration is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

DEFENDANT'S CONDUCT

- 11. Defendant operates a business marketing various building products under the trademark Secure-Line, including ceiling systems, bar and mesh systems, door frames, visitation books and divider walls, all intended for use in prisons, jails and detention facilities.
- 12. Defendant's trademark is used in commerce in direct competition with CMC's business. Specifically, Defendant has engaged in and is now engaged in the business of providing metal ceiling systems under the trademark Secure-Line to capitalize on and to profit from CMC's goodwill associated with its SECURLINE trademark.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 13. CMC realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 12 above.
- 14. Prior to its adoption and use of the complained-of trademark, Defendant had either actual notice and knowledge, or constructive notice, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1072, of CMC's registered mark, SECURLINE.
- 15. Defendant has used and continues to use in commerce the Secure-Line trademark that is confusingly similar to Plaintiffs registered SECURLINE mark, in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution and advertising of Defendant's goods and services.
- 16. Defendant's wrongful and unauthorized use in commerce of the Secure-Line trademark is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and to deceive relative to CMC's registered mark,

3 | § 1114.

45

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

- 17. Defendant's acts constitute federal trademark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C.
- 18. Defendant's use of the Secure-Line trademark has caused and will continue to cause damage, in an amount to be proven at trial, to CMC.
- 19. In addition, CMC has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendant's actions complained of herein, and unless enjoined by this Court, CMC will suffer further harm to its name, reputation and goodwill. This harm constitutes an injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 20. CMC realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1-19 above.
- 21. Defendant's use of the Secure-Line mark to promote, market or sell ceiling systems and related products in direct competition with CMC's SECURLINE products constitutes unfair competition pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a). Defendant's use of the Secure-Line mark is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception among consumers. Defendant's unfair competition has caused and will continue to cause damage to CMC, and is causing irreparable harm to CMC for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 et seq.

- 22. CMC realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraph 1-21 above.
- 23. Defendant's actions discussed herein constitute unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200.
- 24. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 17203, CMC is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief ordering defendant to cease this unfair competition as well

as disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits associated with this unfair competition.

Case 3:08-cv-03042-EMC

a valid trademark under state common law.

2

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

3

COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT/UNFAIR COMPETITION

4 5

25. CMC realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 24 above.

6

26. CMC owns and has used SECURLINE as its distinctive trademark throughout the United States in connection with its sale of metal ceiling systems for almost 15 years. SECURLINE is

8

9

10

7

27. Defendant's acts constitute trademark infringement under the common law of those states where Defendant has used marks confusingly similar to the SECURLINE mark, including California.

11

12

13

14

28. Defendant's unauthorized and infringing use in commerce of the SECURLINE mark constitutes unfair competition with CMC under the common law, in that such use enables Defendant to obtain the benefit of, and trade upon, the widespread recognition and goodwill of CMC; CMC has no control over the business of Defendant and its impact on CMC's goodwill; and such use is likely to

15

cause confusion, mistake or deception, and result in the unjust enrichment of the Defendant.

17

16

29. In addition, CMC has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendant's actions complained of herein, and unless enjoined by this Court, CMC will suffer further harm to its name, reputation and goodwill. This harm constitutes an injury for which there is no adequate remedy

19 20

at law.

18

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

21 22

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, CMC respectfully prays for relief as follows:

23

1. Entry of an order and judgment requiring that Defendant and its officers, agents, servants, employees, owners and representatives, and all other persons, firms or corporations in active

24

25

concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and thereafter permanently enjoined and restrained

26

from (a) using in any manner the Secure-Line trademark, or any name or mark that wholly

27

incorporates any of the SECURLINE trademark or is confusingly similar to or a colorable imitation of

28

any such mark; and (b) doing any act or thing calculated or likely to cause confusion or mistake in the

Case 3:08-cv-03042-EMC

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

minds of members of the public, or current or prospective customers of CMC's products or services, as to the source of the products or services offered for sale, distributed, or sold by Defendant, or likely to deceive members of the public, or current or prospective customers, into believing that there is some connection between Defendant and CMC;

- 2. A judgment ordering Defendant, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), to file with this Court and serve upon CMC within 30 days after entry of the injunction, a report in writing under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the injunction and implemented adequate and effective means to discontinue doing business or offering or selling goods and services using the infringing trademark, as set forth above;
- 3. A judgment ordering Defendant, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118, to deliver up for destruction, or show proof of said destruction or sufficient modification to eliminate, all articles, promotional items, literature, sales aids, or other matter in the possession, custody, or under the control of Defendant or its agents or distributors, bearing any form of the infringing mark in any manner, or any mark that is confusingly similar to or a colorable imitation of the SECURLINE trademark, both alone and in combination with other words or terms, including all plates, molds, matrices, and other means of making such items;
- 4. A judgment ordering Defendant, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118, to delete any and all information and/or computer files bearing any form of the Secure-Line trademark in any manner, or any mark that is confusingly similar to or a colorable imitation of the SECURLINE mark, both alone and in combination with other words or terms, including but not limited to any text and/or images that are hosted on the Defendant's websites or on any of the Defendant's computers or hard drives or other storage media;
- 5. A judgment requiring that Defendant account for and disgorge to CMC all of the profits realized by Defendant or others in active concert or participation with Defendant, relating to the use of the Secure-Line trademark, and, as the Court may deem appropriate, any additional amounts pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, plus interest;
- 6. A judgment that CMC be awarded three (3) times Defendant's profits from its use of the Secure-Line trademark, together with CMC's reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

1	§ 1117(a) and	d (b);							
2	7.								
3	CMC preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and allowing CMC to recover its costs and								
4	attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this action;								
5	8. A judgment, in connection with the above claims and as allowed under law, awarding								
6	statutory and punitive damages in favor of CMC in an amount to be determined;								
7	9. A judgment requiring that Defendant pay prejudgment interest; and								
8	10.	A judgment granting CMC su	MC such other and further relief as the Court deems just and						
9	proper.								
10									
11	DATED: Jun	ne 19, 2008 Res	spectfully submitted,						
12		TO	WNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP						
13									
14		By:	Marc M. Gorelnik						
15		Ž	Marc M. Gorelnik						
16			orneys for Plaintiff ICAGO METALLIC CORPORATION						
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Chicago Metallic Corporation demands a trial by jury on all issues triable of right by a jury. DATED: June 19, 2008 Respectfully submitted, TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP Marc M. Gorelnik Attorneys for Plaintiff CHICAGO METALLIC CORPORATION

Int. Cl.: 6

Prior U.S. Cls.: 2, 12, 13, 14, 23, 25, and 50

Reg. No. 2,037,450

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Registered Feb. 11, 1997

TRADEMARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

SECURLINE

CHICAGO METALLIC CORPORATION (ILLINOIS CORPORATION)
4849 SOUTH AUSTIN AVENUE
CHICAGO, IL 60638

FIRST USE 9-0-1994; IN COMMERCE 9-0-1994.

SN 74-526,086, FILED 5-18-1994.

FOR: METAL CEILINGS AND PARTS THEREOF, IN CLASS 6 (U.S. CLS. 2, 12, 13, 14, 23, 25 AND 50).

ALICE SUE CARRUTHERS, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Document Description: Notice of Acceptance Acknowledgement 2003

Mail / Create Date: 02-May-





Commissioner for Trademarks 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3514 www.uspto.gov

REGISTRATION NO: 2037450 MAILING DATE: 05/02/2003 SERIAL NO: 74/526086

REGISTRATION DATE: 02/11/1997

MARK: SECURLINE

REGISTRATION OWNER: Chicago Metallic Corporation

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

KEITH W. MEDANSKY, PIPER RUDNICK P.O. BOX 64807 CHICAGO, IL 60664-0807

NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE

15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058(a)(1)

THE COMBINED AFFIDAVIT FILED FOR THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED REGISTRATION MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 8 OF THE TRADEMARK ACT, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058.

ACCORDINGLY, THE SECTION 8 AFFIDAVIT IS ACCEPTED.

NOTICE OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

15 U.S.C. Sec. 1065

THE AFFIDAVIT FILED FOR THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED REGISTRATION MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 15 OF THE TRADEMARK ACT, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1065.

ACCORDINGLY, THE SECTION 15 AFFIDAVIT IS ACKNOWLEDGED.

THE REGISTRATION WILL REMAIN IN FORCE FOR CLASS(ES): 006.

HORN GORE, ARLENE J PARALEGAL SPECIALIST POST-REGISTRATION DIVISION (703)308-9500

PLEASE SEE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS NOTICE FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING REQUIREMENTS FOR MAINTAINING THIS REGISTRATION ORIGINAL

Filed 06/20/2008

REQUIREMENTS FOR MAINTAINING A FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

I) SECTION 8: AFFIDAVIT OF CONTINUED USE

Case 3:08-cv-03042-EMC

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, except that the registration shall be canceled for failure to file an Affidavit of Continued Use under Section 8 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058, at the end of each successive 10year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file the Section 8 Affidavit will result in the cancellation of the registration.

II) SECTION 9: APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, subject to the provisions of Section 8, except that the registration shall expire for failure to file an Application for Renewal under Section 9 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1059, at the end of each successive 10-year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file the Application for Renewal will result in the expiration of the registration.

NO FURTHER NOTICE OR REMINDER OF THESE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE SENT TO THE REGISTRANT BY THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE REGISTRANT CONTACT THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF THE TIME PERIODS SHOWN ABOVE TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS AND FEES.

TDR Home

This document may be displayed as a PDF file containing images without text. You may view online or save the entire document by clicking on the file download icon in the upper right corner of this page. [required PDF viewer]

FAO: Are you seeing only the first page of this PDF document?

If you need help:

- General trademark information: Please e-mail TrademarkAssistanceCenter@uspto.gov, or telephone either 571-272-9250 or 1-800-786-9199.
- Technical help: For instructions on how to use TDR, or help in resolving technical glitches, please e-mail TDR@uspto.gov. If outside of the normal business hours of the USPTO, please email Electronic Business Support, or call 1-800-786-9199.
- Ouestions about USPTO programs: Please e-mail <u>USPTO Contact Center (UCC)</u>.

NOTE: Within any e-mail, please include your telephone number so we can talk to you directly, if necessary. Also, include the relevant serial number or registration number, if existing.

Document Description: Notice-Acceptance-Renewal Mail / Create Date: 09-Mar-2007





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Trademarks P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451 www.uspto.gov

REGISTRATION NO: 2037450 SERIAL NO: 74/526086 MAILING DATE: 03/09/2007

REGISTRATION DATE: 02/11/1997

MARK: SECURLINE

REGISTRATION OWNER: Chicago Metallic Corporation

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

KEITH W. MEDANSKY DLA PIPER US LLP PO BOX 64807 CHICAGO, IL 64807

NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE

15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058(a)(3)

THE COMBINED AFFIDAVIT AND RENEWAL APPLICATION FILED FOR THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED REGISTRATION MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 8 OF THE TRADEMARK ACT, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058.

ACCORDINGLY, THE SECTION 8 AFFIDAVIT IS ACCEPTED.

NOTICE OF RENEWAL

15 U.S.C. Sec. 1059(a)

THE COMBINED AFFIDAVIT AND RENEWAL APPLICATION FILED FOR THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED REGISTRATION MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 9 OF THE TRADEMARK ACT, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1059.

ACCORDINGLY, THE REGISTRATION IS RENEWED.

THE REGISTRATION WILL REMAIN IN FORCE FOR CLASS(ES): 006

LOGAN, TAMMY PARALEGAL SPECIALIST POST-REGISTRATION DIVISION 571-272-9500

PLEASE SEE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS NOTICE FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING REQUIREMENTS FOR MAINTAINING THIS REGISTRATION ORIGINAL

Filed 06/20/2008

REQUIREMENTS FOR MAINTAINING A FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

I) SECTION 8: AFFIDAVIT OF CONTINUED USE

Case 3:08-cv-03042-EMC

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, except that the registration shall be canceled for failure to file an Affidavit of Continued Use under Section 8 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1058, at the end of each successive 10-year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file the Section 8 Affidavit will result in the cancellation of the registration.

II) SECTION 9: APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, subject to the provisions of Section 8, except that the registration shall expire for failure to file an Application for Renewal under Section 9 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1059, at the end of each successive 10-year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file the Application for Renewal will result in the expiration of the registration.

NO FURTHER NOTICE OR REMINDER OF THESE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE SENT TO THE REGISTRANT BY THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE REGISTRANT CONTACT THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR BEFORE THE EXPIRATION OF THE TIME PERIODS SHOWN ABOVE TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS AND FEES.

TDR Home

This document may be displayed as a PDF file containing images without text. You may view online or save the entire document by clicking on the file download icon in the upper right corner of this page. [required PDF viewer]

FAO: Are you seeing only the first page of this PDF document?

If you need help:

- General trademark information: Please e-mail <u>TrademarkAssistanceCenter@uspto.gov</u>, or telephone either 571-272-9250 or 1-800-786-9199.
- Technical help: For instructions on how to use TDR, or help in resolving technical glitches, please e-mail TDR@uspto.gov. If outside of the normal business hours of the USPTO, please email Electronic Business Support, or call 1-800-786-9199.
- Questions about USPTO programs: Please e-mail <u>USPTO Contact Center (UCC)</u>.

NOTE: Within any e-mail, please include your telephone number so we can talk to you directly, if necessary. Also, include the relevant serial number or registration number, if existing.

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS								
CHICAGO METALL	DETENTION DEVICE SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED								
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name Guy W. Chambers Marc M. Gorelnik Townsend and Townse Two Embarcadero Cer San Francisco, CA 94 Telephone: 415.576.02	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	PICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PRI For Diversity Cases Only)	NCIPAL	PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One I and One Box for I		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 U.S. Government Defendant	a Party) f Parties in Item III)	C	itizen of This State	PTF DEF tate			PTF	DEF 4 5	
W NATURE OF OUR				oreign Country				~ ~	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		ox Only) RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	DAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER S	T A T 1 1 1	TEC .
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Clability 365 Person 368 Asbest 330 Federal Employers 1 Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Person		jury - ractice jury - ractice jury - polity prisonal uct OPERTY I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R. R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detaince 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Ap	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS oyrights ent demark L SECURITY A (1395ff) ck Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securties/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
1 Original □ 2 R	Cite the U.S. Civil S	ellate Court tatute under which	•		trict	6 Multidist Litigation	rict 🗖 7 M n Ju	ppeal to dge fron agistrate dgment	District n e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Trademark infri	npetition							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND:								
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY DATE June 20, 2008 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	E(S) (See instructions):	Me Me	FATTORN	Herely	DOC	KET NUMBER			
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP_		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

CHICAGO METALLIC CORPORATION

Plaintiff
v.
DETENTION DEVICE SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED
Defendant

Defendant

)
Civil Action No.

Summons in a Civil Action 8

3042

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Detention Device Systems, Incorporated 25545 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California



A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Guy W. Chambers

Marc M. Gorelnik

Date: _ JUN 2 0 2008

Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP

Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111

If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

RICHARD W. WIEKING

Name of clerk of court

Danuta alark'a gignatura

MARY ANN BUCKLE

(Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3).)